

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 102157  
ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /016 R

66011  
DRAFTED BY:OES/NET/RD:JACOON  
APPROVED BY:OES/NET:LVNOSENZO  
CLEARANCES:S/S:SHANKLE  
-----050631Z 009988 /15

P 050321Z MAY 77  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO USMISSION IAEA VIENNA PRIORITY

S E C R E T STATE 102157

EXDIS

FOLLOWING REPEAT LONDON 7093 ACTION SECSTATE INFO LONDON  
APR 30.  
QUOTE S E C R E T  
EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1  
TAGS: PARM TECH MNUC JA  
SUBJECT: BILATERAL NUCLEAR SUPPLIERS CONSULTATIONS WITH  
JAPAN, APRIL 27' 1977

1. JAPANESE DEL HEADED BY KOBAYASHI OPENED MEETING WITH  
STATEMENT HE WAS INSTRUCTED TO MAKE EMPHASIZING NECESSITY  
FOR JAPAN TO DEVELOP NUCLEAR POWER FOR PEACEFUL PURPOSES  
TO SUSTAIN ECONOMIC GROWTH. HE INDICATED THIS NECESSITY  
WILL BE RAISED IN BILATERALS AT THE ECONOMIC SUMMIT,  
POINTING OUT THAT A JAPANESE FULL FUEL CYCLE IS AN INDIS-  
PENSABLE PART OF THEIR NUCLEAR PROGRAM FOR PEACEFUL  
PURPOSES WHICH IN TURN IS ESSENTIAL TO THEIR BEING ABLE  
TO PLAY EXPECTED ROLE IN WORLD ECONOMIC ORDER. KOBAYASHI  
INDICATED THEY WERE ENCOURAGED BY PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 102157

AT APRIL 7 PRESS CONFERENCE WHICH RECOGNIZED NECESSITY  
FOR CERTAIN COUNTRIES TO REPROCESS. BUT HE EXPRESSED  
DEEP DISAPPOINTMENT OVER SUBSEQUENT EXPLANATION THAT THIS  
DID NOT MEAN THAT U.S. WOULD CONSENT TO REPROCESSING OF  
U.S.-SUPPLIED FUEL IN JAPAN.

2. JAPANESE DEL ASKED WHETHER U.S. WOULD TREAT JAPAN AS

A MAJOR ALLY AND PARTNER IN GLOBAL ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL AFFAIRS, OR LIKE PAKISTAN, BRAZIL, ARGENTINA, KENYA AND EVEN UGANDA? HE IMPLORIED US TO BE CONSISTENT. IF JAPAN WERE NOT ALLOWED TO PROCEED WITH REPROCESSING HE SAID THERE WOULD BE BITTER RESENTMENT AMONG JAPANESE PEOPLE, GRAVE DIFFICULTIES IN DIET EFFORTS TO GET IAEA SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT APPROVED, QUESTIONS REGARDING VALUE OF NPT ADHERENCE AND CONTINUING CREDIBILITY OF NPT, AND A SERIOUS PROBLEM OF DE FACTO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST JAPAN IN FAVOR OF EUROPEAN COUNTRIES, WHICH COULD DO HARM TO OUR TRADITIONALLY COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP AND TO FAR EAST STABILITY.

3. NYE REPLIED THAT HE WOULD REPORT GOJ VIEWS FAITHFULLY AND ELABORATED ON THE APRIL 7 PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT. HE REPEATED U.S. POSITION SUPPORTING CURRENT GENERATION NUCLEAR REACTORS, BUT STRESSING IMPORTANCE OF EXAMINING ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH PLUTONIUM ECONOMY.

4. JAPANESE DEL WAS INFORMED CURRENT BILATERAL DIFFICULTIES REGARDING TOKAI WERE OCCASIONED BY ARTICLE 8(C) OF AGREEMENT OF COOPERATION, WHICH REQUIRED US EITHER TO GIVE OR REFUSE CONSENT TO AN ACTIVITY THAT WE WERE NOT PERMITTING IN THE U.S. IN SPITE OF THIS PROVISION, PRESIDENTIAL STATEMENT SHOWED U.S. COGNIZANCE OF JAPANESE ENERGY NEEDS. THE SMALL QUANTITIES OF URANIUM RECYCLED THROUGH TOKAI WOULD BE INSIGNIFICANT RELATIVE TO TOTAL JAPANESE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 102157

5. NYE REASSURED JAPANESE DEL THAT U.S. VIEWED JAPAN AS A MAJOR ECONOMIC POWER AND HAD NO DESIRE TO RESTRICT THEIR ENERGY NEEDS. HE EXPLAINED JAPANESE ROLE IN INFCEP WOULD BE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO SOLUTION ON TOKAI ISSUE.

6. THORNE (ERDA) EXPLAINED BUDGET STEPS BEING TAKEN TO IMPLEMENT U.S. NON-PROLIFERATION OBJECTIVES. A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM INVOLVING 750 MILLION DOLLARS WITH FALL 1978 TARGET DATE IDENTIFIES NUCLEAR POWER OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES WITH LOWER PROLIFERATION RISKS. STUDIES WOULD INCLUDE ASSESSMENT OF URANIUM AND THORIUM RESERVES AVAILABILITY.

7. JAPANESE SHOWED CONCERN THAT INFCEP WOULD A PRIORI EXCLUDE REPROCESSING AND PREJUDGE CONCLUSION OF STUDIES. A STUDY OVER 3 OR 4 YEARS THAT DID NOT PRODUCE AN ACCEPTABLE SOLUTION WOULD PLACE JAPAN IN A DIFFICULT

POSITION. NYE ACKNOWLEDGED U.S. INTENT TO INCLUDE EVALUATION OF REPROCESSING AND THAT U.S. DOES NOT PREJUDGE STUDY OUTCOMES. JAPANESE REPLIED THAT ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS OF REPROCESSING WERE DOMESTIC PROBLEMS AND THAT THEY WERE FAIRLY CERTAIN THAT REPROCESSING COULD BE SAFEGUARDED.

8. WHEN ASKED, KOBAYASHI REPLIED THAT JAPAN COULD GO ALONG WITH U.S. ON ARTICLE 14 (SANCTIONS). THEY WERE RELUCTANT TO MAKE ARTICLE 9 (RE CONSENT TO REPROCESSING) MANDATORY BUT WOULD NOT BLOCK CONSENSUS ON THIS. ON ARTICLE 4 (FULL SCOPE SAFEGUARDS), THEY STATED THAT REQUIREMENT TO MEET SAFEGUARDS DURATION REQUIREMENTS OF GOV 1621 CAUSED SOME PROBLEMS WHEN EXPORTING SMALL PARTS FOR COUNTRIES ALREADY POSSESSING REACTORS.

9. NYE PROVIDED EXPLANATION OF PRESIDENTIAL DECISION TO ISSUE MB-10S ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS BASED ON DEMONSTRATION

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 102157

TED NEED, FOR EXAMPLE CONGESTED STORAGE AT REACTOR SITES. YAMAMOTO SUBSEQUENTLY CALLED U.S. DEL'S ATTENTION TO THE REQUIREMENT FOR JAPANESE UTILITIES TO MAKE A FIVE PERCENT DEPOSIT BY THE END OF AUGUST IN ORDER TO CONFIRM CONTRACT TO BE NEGOTIATED BY END OF MAY FOR REPROCESSING IN UK AND FRENCH FACILITIES BEGINNING IN 1982/3. HE SUGGESTED THAT WE AGREE TO DISCUSS THE EXTENT OF NEED FOR MB-10 COVERING FUEL ENVISIONED UNDER CONTRACT WELL BEFORE MID-AUGUST DEADLINE FOR GOJ DECISION ON COMMERCIAL REPROCESSING CONTRACT, PREFERABLY IN THE COURSE OF FOLLOW-ON TOKAI CONSULTATIONS BEGINNING IN MAY.

SPIERS UNQUOTE VANCE

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, NUCLEAR FUELS, VENDORS, MEETING REPORTS, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 05-May-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decapton Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Decapton Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977STATE102157  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** OES/NET/RD:JACOON  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** X1  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770157-0816  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:**  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770589/aaaaczbx.tel  
**Line Count:** 152  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** fc66179a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 17-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2627353  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** BILATERAL NUCLEAR SUPPLIERS CONSULTATIONS WITH JAPAN, APRIL 27' 1977  
**TAGS:** PARM, TECH, MNUC, JA, US  
**To:** IAEA VIENNA  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkvgwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/fc66179a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009